

'All in all, just another BRIC in the wall.' Roger Waters

We've recently been asked by a few clients whether the recent expansion of the BRICS group is significant. Probably the first point to note is that BRICS was originally a marketing term coined by Jim O'Neill at Goldman Sachs. Our focus at Baillie Gifford is on investing in individual Emerging Markets companies. Moreover, even the BRICS group is a heterogeneous subset within an already diverse set of Emerging Market countries. However, for the purposes of the question, it is probably helpful to evaluate the BRICS expansion along two parameters: from an economic perspective, the expansion probably does have some impact; from a political perspective, perhaps less so due to divergent policy aims within the bloc.

The BRICS' expansion became effective at the beginning of this year with Saudi Arabia, Iran, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Egypt and Ethiopia joining existing members Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa. These additions mark the bloc's first expansion in 13 years, and according to the 2023 summit chair South Africa, other countries such as Algeria, Bolivia, Indonesia, Cuba, Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon and Kazakhstan have also expressed interest in joining the group.

Economically, BRICS is dominated by China, whose GDP is approximately \$18 trillion. By comparison, India's is \$3.4 trillion, Russia's \$2.2 trillion, and Brazil's \$1.9 trillion, with only Saudi Arabia (\$ 1.9 trillion) of comparable size amongst the new

entrants. Nonetheless, the enlarged BRICS looks like a powerful combination, representing approximately 46% of the global population and 29% of global GDP. Most notably, the bloc now represents roughly 42% of global oil production (notably Saudi Arabia, Russia, Iran and the UAE) and 35% of global oil consumption (predominantly China and India). Post Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the resulting Western sanctions, both China and India have been importing Russian oil and gas and paying for it in renminbi and rupee, leading to some de-dollarization of the oil price and, perhaps more broadly, of trade within the bloc. Plenty in the Global South are already buying capital goods from China in renminbi (with loans from Chinese banks) and commodities from Russia in their local currencies.

Around 45% of Emerging Market exports are now going to other Emerging Markets. This figure was 25% at the turn of the millennium. Trade relations between China and the West have been volatile in recent years and have soured more recently: Donald Trump's pre-election talk of 60% tariffs on Chinese goods is just the latest headline. In 2023, FDI into China had fallen by around 80% compared with 2022. As barriers are put in place and the West tries to remove/reduce China from supply chains, trade will tend to find other routes; this should benefit the BRICS and Global South more generally with more trade transacted in local currencies.

However, de-dollarization will not happen overnight; according to the Bank for International Settlements, the US\$ remains the most traded currency, accounting for almost 90% of all foreign exchange

transactions. In addition, the dollar accounts for 60% of global foreign exchange reserves, whereas by comparison, the Chinese renminbi accounts for about 3%. Despite US sanctions on Russia, the US\$ remains largely free from capital controls, while the same cannot be said of the renminbi, the ruble, the rupee and the rand. In short, while the BRICS will likely increase their use of local currencies for bilateral trade, the imminent demise of the US dollar is probably overstated.

The BRICS bloc is endeavouring to establish itself as a balancing force against the G7 and the broader G20. Yet, aside from this ambition and a shared scepticism towards the established transnational institutions (IMF, World Bank), there's minimal common ground among the BRIC nations regarding their foreign policies. The selection of new members mirrors the individual aspirations of BRICS countries to incorporate supportive partners into their ranks. Brazilian leader Lula da Silva actively advocated for the inclusion of neighbouring Argentina, though the newly elected Javier Milei declined the offer. The incorporation of oil producers like Saudi Arabia and the UAE indicates their intent to collaborate with Russia in exerting some level of influence over oil pricing. Russia and Iran, united by their collective opposition to sanctions and resulting international isolation, have seen their economic and military (Shahed drones) ties deepening in the wake of Russia's invasion of Ukraine.

China holds significant economic and military influence over the bloc, causing concern for India, which views itself as a competitor to China in both economic and strategic spheres. The Himalayan border conflicts persist, and India participates in the Quad, a strategic coalition with the US, Japan, and Australia, aimed at opposing China's influence. Despite recent attempts at reconciliation, Saudi Arabia and Iran maintain a tense relationship, having engaged in indirect conflict in Yemen. In Latin America, Argentina has declined Brazil's invitation to join BRICS, while the Monroe Doctrine casts a long shadow on external involvement in the region. Disagreements also exist among newer members; a notable example is the tension between Egypt and Ethiopia over the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam.

The future of the expanded BRICS is uncertain. The economic impact, initially within the oil sector, could be significant. The size of the economies involved and the linkages between them could have a considerable effect, most notably in terms of re-routed trade flows and a slow process of de-dollarization. However, politically, BRICS remains a heterogeneous group, some with conflicting geopolitical goals. As it expands, the likelihood of a consensus becomes increasingly unlikely, especially as there is no formal group bureaucracy to coordinate policy. As a result, for the foreseeable future, politically BRICS looks to be limited to more of a voice for the Global South and a stalking horse for the G20 rather than the start of a new world order.

## **Risk factors and important information**

The views expressed should not be considered as advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a particular investment. They reflect opinion and should not be taken as statements of fact nor should any reliance be placed on them when making investment decisions.

This communication was produced and approved in February 2024 and has not been updated subsequently. It represents views held at the time of writing and may not reflect current thinking.

### **Potential for profit and loss**

All investment strategies have the potential for profit and loss, your or your clients' capital may be at risk. Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

This communication contains information on investments which does not constitute independent research. Accordingly, it is not subject to the protections afforded to independent research, but is classified as advertising under Art 68 of the Financial Services Act ('FinSA') and Baillie Gifford and its staff may have dealt in the investments concerned.

All information is sourced from Baillie Gifford & Co and is current unless otherwise stated.

The images used in this communication are for illustrative purposes only.

### **Important information**

Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford & Co Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). Baillie Gifford & Co Limited is an Authorised Corporate Director of OEICs.

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides investment management and advisory services to non-UK Professional/Institutional clients only. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited are authorised and regulated by the FCA in the UK.

## **Financial intermediaries**

This communication is suitable for use of financial intermediaries. Financial intermediaries are solely responsible for any further distribution and Baillie Gifford takes no responsibility for the reliance on this document by any other person who did not receive this document directly from Baillie Gifford.

### **Europe**

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited provides investment management and advisory services to European (excluding UK) clients. It was incorporated in Ireland in May 2018. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as an AIFM under the AIFM Regulations and as a UCITS management company under the UCITS Regulation. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited is also authorised in accordance with Regulation 7 of the AIFM Regulations, to provide management of portfolios of investments, including Individual Portfolio Management ('IPM') and Non-Core Services. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited has been appointed as UCITS management company to the following UCITS umbrella company; Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc. Through passporting it has established Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited (Frankfurt Branch) to market its investment management and advisory services and distribute Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc in Germany. Similarly, it has established Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited (Amsterdam Branch) to market its investment management and advisory services and distribute Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc in The Netherlands. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited also has a representative office in Zurich, Switzerland pursuant to Art. 58 of the Federal Act on Financial Institutions (FinIA'). The representative office is authorised by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). The representative office does not constitute a branch and therefore does not have authority to commit Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, which is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and Baillie Gifford & Co are authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority.

## **Hong Kong**

Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and holds a Type 1 licence from the Securities & Futures Commission of Hong Kong to market and distribute Baillie Gifford's range of collective investment schemes to professional investors in Hong Kong. Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 can be contacted at Suites 2713-2715, Two International Finance Centre, 8 Finance Street, Central, Hong Kong, Telephone +852 3756 5700.

## **South Korea**

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is licensed with the Financial Services Commission in South Korea as a cross border Discretionary Investment Manager and Non-discretionary Investment Adviser.

## **Japan**

Mitsubishi UFJ Baillie Gifford Asset Management Limited ('MUBGAM') is a joint venture company between Mitsubishi UFJ Trust & Banking Corporation and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited. MUBGAM is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

## **Australia**

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited (ARBN 118 567 178) is registered as a foreign company under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and holds Foreign Australian Financial Services Licence No 528911. This material is provided to you on the basis that you are a 'wholesale client' within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) ('Corporations Act'). Please advise Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited immediately if you are not a wholesale client. In no circumstances may this material be made available to a 'retail client' within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act. This material contains general information only. It does not take into account any person's objectives, financial situation or needs. South Africa.

## **South Africa**

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered as a Foreign Financial Services Provider with the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa.

## **North America**

Baillie Gifford International LLC is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited; it was formed in Delaware in 2005 and is registered with the SEC. It is the legal entity through which Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides client service and marketing functions in North America. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered with the SEC in the United States of America. The Manager is not resident in Canada, its head office and principal place of business is in Edinburgh, Scotland. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is regulated in Canada as a portfolio manager and exempt market dealer with the Ontario Securities Commission ('OSC'). Its portfolio manager licence is currently passported into Alberta, Quebec, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Newfoundland & Labrador whereas the exempt market dealer licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford International LLC is regulated by the OSC as an exempt market and its licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited ('BGE') relies on the International Investment Fund Manager Exemption in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

## **Israel**

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is not licensed under Israel's Regulation of Investment Advising, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 5755-1995 (the Advice Law) and does not carry insurance pursuant to the Advice Law. This material is only intended for those categories of Israeli residents who are qualified clients listed on the First Addendum to the Advice Law.

# **Baillie Gifford™**