Baillie Gifford

Baillie Gifford & Co

Investment Policy Disclosure 1 April 2024 – 31 March 2025

MIFIDPRU 8.7 – Investment Policy Disclosure

The Investment Firms Prudential Regime (IFPR) is the Financial Conduct Authority's (FCA) new prudential regime for UK MiFID investment firms. The regime came into force on 1 January 2022. As part of the implementation of this regime, most of the prudential rules for FCA investment firms have been brought into a single prudential sourcebook – MIFIDPRU.

Public disclosure is one of the prudential requirements within MIFIDPRU. Public disclosure is a core part of market discipline, providing important information and transparency to enable markets to work well. Public disclosure also facilitates constructive engagement by all stakeholders. The disclosure of own funds and own funds requirements provide information on the firm's financial strength. Investment policy disclosures provide information on the firm's behaviour, while risk management, governance and remuneration provide key information on the firm's culture. Disclosing this information helps stakeholders to make more informed decisions about their relationship with an investment firm. This document has been produced to meet the disclosure requirements under MIFIDPRU 8.7 – Investment policy. Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, as UK MiFID investment firms meeting the relevant thresholds, are the entities in scope of these disclosure requirements. As required under MIFIDPRU 8.7, this disclosure has been produced on a 'solo' basis rather than on a 'group' basis.

MIFIDPRU 8.7 sets out the requirement for investment firms to disclose information on investment policy, including the following: (1) proportion of voting rights attached to shares held, (2) voting behaviour, (3) use of proxy advisor firms and (4) voting guidelines. The required disclosures apply only in respect of a company whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market which is a UK recognised investment exchange (UK RIE), only where the proportion of voting rights that the investment firm directly or indirectly holds in that company is greater than 5% of all voting rights attached to the shares issued by the company and only in respect of shares in that company to which voting rights are attached. Where voting rights at a company have been greater than 5% at any time during the reporting period, the highest voting rights percentage during the period has been reported, and all general meetings taking place within the reporting period have been included in the reporting. In instances where there has been a split vote on a resolution at a company general meeting, we have included both votes within the information disclosed. To facilitate the disclosure of the relevant information, we have used the required template available at MIFIDPRU 8 Annex 2R.

IP1 - TEMPLATE ON PROPORTION OF VOTING RIGHTS (insert additional rows as needed)

Company name	LEI	Proportion of voting rights attached to shares held directly or indirectly in accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.7.4R
4imprint Group plc	213800G95T751RN2CT94	6.72%
Genus plc	54930022EOITNKSIGH56	5.42%
Helical plc	2138003SBD2Z7WV2MP70	8.43%
Just Group plc	5493006456YEZEELRR90	5.59%
Kainos Group plc	213800H2PQMIF3OVZY47	6.51%
Moonpig Group PLC	213800VAYO5KCAXZHK83	6.81%
Octopus Renewables Infrastructure Trust plc	213800B81BFJKWM2JV13	6.13%
PureTech Health plc	213800LVPDNO2Z9T9I39	5.95%
The Schiehallion Fund Limited	213800NQOLJA1JCWXQ56	9.94%
US Solar Fund Plc	2138007BIUWE7AHS5Y90	6.75%
Vietnam Enterprise Investments Limited	213800SYT3T4AGEVW864	5.03%
Volution Group plc	213800EPT84EQCDHO768	5.25%
Wise Payments Ltd	213800LD9XCHIC1C4V71	7.93%

IP2 - VOTING BEHAVIOUR

IP2.01	L - TABLE ON THE DESC	RIPTION OF VOTING BEHAVIOUR
Row	Item	Value
1	Number of relevant	13
	companies in the	
	scope of disclosure	
2	Number of general	15
	meetings in the	
	scope of disclosure	
	during the past year	
3	Number of general	15
,	meetings in the	
	scope of disclosure	
	in which the firm	
	has voted during the	
	past year	
4	Does the	Other - When voting a general meeting, Baillie Gifford will usually
	investment firm	communicate our voting rationale, including negative votes, to the company.
	inform the company	Where we do communicate our voting rationale we will endeavour to do so
	of negative votes	prior to the general meeting. However, this may sometimes occur following
	prior to the general	the general meeting.
	meeting?	
	(Yes/No/Other –	
	please explain)	
5	On a consolidated	Yes
	basis, does the	
	investment firm	
	group possess a policy regarding	
	conflicts of interests	
	between relevant	
	entities of the	
	group? (Yes/No)	
6	If yes, summary of	Baillie Gifford recognises the importance of managing potential conflicts
	this policy	of interest that may exist when voting a proxy solicited by a company with
		whom Baillie Gifford has a material business or personal relationship.
		Baillie Gifford's central Voting Team is responsible for monitoring possible
		conflicts of interest with respect to proxy voting. Application of the
		Stewardship Principles and Guidelines to vote proxies will in most
		instances adequately address any possible conflicts of interest. For proxy
		votes that involve a potential conflict of interest or are inconsistent with
		(or not covered by) the Guidelines, Baillie Gifford has an internal process
		to review the proposed voting rationale. It would consider whether
		business relationships between Baillie Gifford and the company have
		influenced the proposed vote and decide the course of action to be taken in the best interests of its clients. Where a conflict of interest is deemed
		not to have been prevented or managed by organisational arrangements
		in place, it would disclose the existence of a conflict of interest. If Baillie
		Gifford invests on behalf of its segregated clients in pooled vehicles
		managed or advised by Baillie Gifford (in-house pooled funds), it will
		provide these segregated clients with the opportunity to direct how their
		units in these funds should be voted at a meeting of the in-house pooled
		fund. More details can be found within the Proxy Voting section of Baillie
		Gifford's Conflict of Interest Disclosure.

IP2.02 - TEMPLATE ON VOTING BEHAVIOUR				
Item	Number	Percentage (of all resolutions)		
General meetings resolutions:	217 ¹	100%		
the firm has approved management's recommendation	208	95.85%		
the firm has opposed management's recommendation	9	4.15%		
in which the firm has abstained	0	0		
General meetings in which the firm has opposed at least one resolution	9	60% (percentage of general meetings)		

IP2.03 - TEMPLATE ON VOTING BEHAVIOUR IN RESOLUTIONS BY THEME (number unless specified)						
Item	Voted for	Voted against	Abstained	Total		
Voted resolutions by theme during the past year:	207	10	0	217		
Board structure	80	0	0	80		
Executive remuneration	19	1	0	20		
Auditors	25	0	0	25		
Environment, social, governance not covered by						
rows 2-4	32	1	0	33		
Capital transactions	51	8	0	59		
External resolutions (eg shareholder proposals)	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of all resolutions put forward by the administrative or management body that are approved by the firm	95.39%	4.61%	0	100%		

-

¹ One resolution at the Genus plc Annual General Meeting; one resolution at the PureTech Health plc Annual General Meeting and one resolution at the Wise Payments Annual General Meeting are double counted in the report classified both as a vote For and a vote Against. This is due to a split in voting approach amongst our investment strategies.

Explanation of the use of proxy advisor firms
We do not outsource voting analysis or recommendations, using proxy advisors for information only. Proxy advisors used for research include ISS, Glass Lewis, IIAS and ZD Proxy.
Voting analysis and execution is carried out in-house by our central Voting Team in conjunction with investment teams. Baillie Gifford analyses all meetings in-house in line with Our Stewardship.

IP3 - TABLE ON THE USE OF PROXY ADVISOR FIRMS

Principles and Guidelines.

IP4 - TABLE ON VOTING GUIDELINES

Voting guidelines regarding the companies the shares of which are held in accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.7.4R: short summary and, if available, links to non-confidential documents

Voting is integral to our role as responsible stewards of our clients' capital. Our voting analysis and decisions are driven by what we consider will promote the long-term prospects of the company, thereby supporting the outcomes we aim to deliver to our clients. In line with our investment philosophy, our voting analysis is bottom-up and led by each investment case. Rather than applying prescriptive policies, we assess every resolution on a case-by-case basis. We believe that a prescriptive approach can lead to unwarranted and, in some cases, perverse outcomes which may not be in the best interests of a particular company, given its stage of development and the wider geographical and industrial context.

Baillie Gifford analyses all meetings in-house in line with our Stewardship Principles and Guidelines. Our Stewardship principles provide some insight into our voting process and approach to matters routinely presented for a vote at shareholder meetings. They do not indicate how we will vote on specific topics.

Our Stewardship Principles

- Governance fit for purpose
- Alignment in vision and practice
- Long-term value creation
- Sustainable business practices

Our <u>Stewardship Principles and Guidelines</u> detail our approach to voting and can be found on the Baillie Gifford website.