


Global Income Growth Quarterly Update

30 September 2021



Contents

01	Executive Summary
02	Commentary
06	Performance
12	Portfolio Overview
13	Governance Summary
16	Governance Engagement
17	Voting
18	Transaction Notes
19	Legal Notices

This document is solely for the use of professional investors and should not be relied upon by any other person. It is not intended for use by retail clients.

Important Information and Risk Factors

Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford & Co Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). Baillie Gifford & Co Limited is an Authorised Corporate Director of OEICs.

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides investment management and advisory services to non-UK Professional/Institutional clients only. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and holds a Type 1 and Type 2 licence from the Securities & Futures Commission of Hong Kong to market and distribute Baillie Gifford's range of collective investment schemes to professional investors in Hong Kong. Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 can be contacted at Suites 2713-2715, Two International Finance Centre, 8 Finance Street, Central, Hong Kong, Telephone +852 3756 5700.

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited provides investment management and advisory services to European (excluding UK) clients. It was incorporated in Ireland in May 2018 and is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland. Through its MiFID passport, it has established Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited (Frankfurt Branch) to market its investment management and advisory services and distribute Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc in Germany. Similarly, it has established Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited (Amsterdam Branch) to market its investment management and advisory services and distribute Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc in The Netherlands.

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited also has a representative office in Zurich, Switzerland pursuant to Art. 58 of the Federal Act on Financial Institutions ("FinIA"). It does not constitute a branch and therefore does not have authority to commit Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited. It is the intention to ask for the authorisation by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) to maintain this representative office of a foreign asset manager of collective assets in Switzerland pursuant to the applicable transitional provisions of FinIA.

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, which is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co.

Persons resident or domiciled outwith the UK should consult with their professional advisers as to whether they require any governmental or other consents in order to enable them to invest, and with their tax advisers for advice relevant to their own particular circumstances.

This document contains information on investments which does not constitute independent research. Accordingly, it is not subject to the protections afforded to independent research and Baillie Gifford and its staff may have dealt in the investments concerned.

All information is based on a representative portfolio, new client portfolios may not mirror the representative portfolio exactly. As at 30 September 2021, in US dollars and sourced from Baillie Gifford & Co unless otherwise stated.

Canada

Baillie Gifford International LLC is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited; it was formed in Delaware in 2005 and is registered with the SEC. It is the legal entity through which Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides client service and marketing functions in North America. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered with the SEC in the United States of America.

The Manager is not resident in Canada, its head office and principal place of business is in Edinburgh, Scotland. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is regulated in Canada as a portfolio manager and exempt market dealer with the Ontario Securities Commission ('OSC'). Its portfolio manager licence is currently passported into Alberta, Quebec, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Newfoundland & Labrador whereas the exempt market dealer licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford International LLC is regulated by the OSC as an exempt market and its licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited ('BGE') relies on the International Investment Fund Manager Exemption in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

South Africa

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered as a Foreign Financial Services Provider with the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa.

Japan

Mitsubishi UFJ Baillie Gifford Asset Management Limited ('MUBGAM') is a joint venture company between Mitsubishi UFJ Trust & Banking Corporation and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited. MUBGAM is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

South Korea

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is licensed with the Financial Services Commission in South Korea as a cross border Discretionary Investment Manager and Non-Discretionary Investment Adviser.

Australia

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited (ARBN 118 567 178) is registered as a foreign company under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and holds Foreign Australian Financial Services Licence No 528911. This material is provided to you on the basis that you are a "wholesale client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) ("Corporations Act"). Please advise Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited immediately if you are not a wholesale client. In no circumstances may this document be made available to a "retail client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act. This material contains general information only. It does not take into account any person's objectives, financial situation or needs.

Qatar

The materials contained herein are not intended to constitute an offer or provision of investment management, investment and advisory services or other financial services under the laws of Qatar. The services have not been and will not be authorised by the Qatar Financial Markets Authority, the Qatar Financial Centre Regulatory Authority or the Qatar Central Bank in accordance with their regulations or any other regulations in Qatar.

Oman

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited ("BGO") neither has a registered business presence nor a representative office in Oman and does not undertake banking business or provide financial services in Oman. Consequently, BGO is not regulated by either the Central Bank of Oman or Oman's Capital Market Authority. No authorization, licence or approval has been received from the Capital Market Authority of Oman or any other regulatory authority in Oman, to provide such advice or service within Oman. BGO does not solicit business in Oman and does not market, offer, sell or distribute any financial or investment products or services in Oman and no subscription to any securities, products or financial services may or will be consummated within Oman. The recipient of this document represents that it is a financial institution or a sophisticated investor (as described in Article 139 of the

Executive Regulations of the Capital Market Law) and that its officers/employees have such experience in business and financial matters that they are capable of evaluating the merits and risks of investments.

Israel

Baillie Gifford Overseas is not licensed under Israel's Regulation of Investment Advising, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 5755-1995 (the Advice Law) and does not carry insurance pursuant to the Advice Law. This document is only intended for those categories of Israeli residents who are qualified clients listed on the First Addendum to the Advice Law.

Past Performance

Past performance is not a guide to future returns. Changes in investment strategies, contributions or withdrawals may materially alter the performance and results of the portfolio.

Potential for Profit and Loss

All investment strategies have the potential for profit and loss.

Stock Examples

Any stock examples, or images, used in this paper are not intended to represent recommendations to buy or sell, neither is it implied that they will prove profitable in the future. It is not known whether they will feature in any future portfolio produced by us. Any individual examples will represent only a small part of the overall portfolio and are inserted purely to help illustrate our investment style. A full list of portfolio holdings is available on request.

Financial Intermediaries

This document is suitable for use of financial intermediaries. Financial intermediaries are solely responsible for any further distribution and Baillie Gifford takes no responsibility for the reliance on this document by any other person who did not receive this document directly from Baillie Gifford.

Product Overview

Global Income Growth is a long-term global equity strategy that aims to deliver both a dependable income stream which grows at a rate above inflation and real capital growth, together combining to provide a total return ahead of equity markets.

Risk Analysis

Key Statistics

Number of Holdings	63
Typical Number of Holdings	50-80
Active Share	89%*
Rolling One Year Turnover	19%

*Relative to MSCI ACWI Index. Source: Baillie Gifford & Co, MSCI.

The holdings have generally been reporting strong growth in earnings. We expect the portfolio's underlying income to grow 6-10 per cent this year

We are seeking attractive long-term opportunities in China, undeterred by short-term noise around regulation

Several new holdings with exciting prospects have been purchased



Baillie Gifford Key Facts

Assets under management and advice	US\$466.8bn
Number of clients	870
Number of employees	1576
Number of investment professionals	319

Earlier this year we updated our data on the dividend-paying universe of companies. As a reminder, we consider any company that pays a dividend and has a market capitalisation of over £1bn to be part of our universe. In principle we are happy to own companies that don't pay a dividend if we believe they will start one in the foreseeable future and make a contribution to our clients' long-term income, but we think the 'pays a dividend' criterion is a helpful starting point for sizing our hunting ground.

Today, there are over 5,300 such companies available globally. This is 25x more than if we restricted ourselves to just the narrower UK market and we think this broader set of potential investments remains the best argument for investing globally rather than regionally. However, what was more interesting to observe in the latest data is *where* the opportunity set is today. Around 1,100 dividend-paying companies are in the US and 900 are in Europe (including the UK). The biggest single opportunity set, however, is China, with over 1,200 dividend-paying companies.

Until a few years ago, these companies would have been beyond limits for most western investors. Like others, we could only invest in the subset of Chinese companies that chose to list in Hong Kong (such as ANTA Sports) or New York. However, over recent years the Chinese government has been encouraging foreign investment into its domestic market, notably through the Connect programme offered through the Hong Kong Stock Exchange (a long-standing holding of our strategy). We are now able to invest directly in Chinese companies, and this quarter we did so for the first time, purchasing Midea: a manufacturer of air conditioners and other appliances.

Recently China has been in the financial headlines repeatedly, and rarely in a good way. Regulatory moves have had a drastic impact on investor confidence in the education sector and some consumer-facing internet platforms. Some high-profile founders and managers have found themselves under scrutiny. More recently, the financial struggles of some property developers have come to the fore, with rumours of defaults by Evergrande. The Hang Seng Index (a barometer of confidence in the Chinese economy) has notably underperformed other global markets this quarter.

Given these concerns, why are we now highlighting the opportunity in China? There are several facets of the opportunities for dividend investors in the Chinese market that are often misunderstood, and we think these are well worth considering and researching.

The first is the dynamism of many Chinese companies, many of which are still run by founders or by CEOs hand-picked by the founder. True, many of the 1,200 companies we highlighted above are state-owned enterprises, with capital intensive business models, and poor governance – and we won't waste time looking at many of those. But there are many others with entrepreneurial management teams, that could be a great fit for our strategy. In a c.60-stock portfolio, we only need to find a handful of the most promising ones.

The second is the evolving nature of the Chinese economy, as the middle class expands rapidly, with a different set of needs and wishes. The speed with which Chinese consumers have adopted (for instance) ecommerce, or food delivery, or particular premium brands has often caught western observers by surprise over the last decade. It presents very big opportunities for the most dynamic companies, which take advantage of the shifts in what Chinese consumers or businesses want. This is a market where the successful can build businesses with billions of dollars of sales faster than in almost any other part of the world. Increasingly, the successful companies are starting to look overseas.

The third is the emerging attitude towards dividends. Many international investors don't appreciate that the listing rules on the Shanghai and Shenzhen stock exchanges set minimum dividend pay-out ratios for companies, based on their profitability, level of maturity, and the capital intensity of growth. These minimum distribution levels rise from 10 per cent for the most immature companies, to 70 per cent for the most mature. The explanation for this is clear: the Chinese government does not want the most mature businesses to be hoarding cash and reinvesting it at poor returns. They would rather it was returned to shareholders (including the public and private pension funds which are big investors in the market), so that it can be used more usefully. Many founders take a similar view – we have observed in the past that many interesting founder-managed businesses listed in Hong Kong, such as ANTA Sports, have prioritised both growth *and* dividends as they grow. It is encouraging that paying dividends in China is both normal and welcomed.

This will matter more as time goes on, as China's government also appears to be keen that Chinese companies listed overseas 'come home', and list on the domestic stock exchanges. We expect that companies like Alibaba, Tencent, and NetEase, which originally listed in New York, and then set up dual listings in Hong Kong, are likely to pursue listings in China too, with dividends following.



We are stock pickers who seek to find a small number of outstanding companies from around the world. We typically have 50–80 holdings in our portfolio, and so our question is not “are there likely to be opportunities in China?”, but rather “what are the handful of opportunities in this enormous pool of opportunities that are the best fit for our strategy?” We are looking for a number of things, including:

- Management teams we can trust
- A material growth opportunity
- A core business that is cash-generative and which we think can support a resilient dividend, that is not going to be highly volatile
- Businesses that are operated in a sustainable way, that is in-line with the broad outlines of Chinese government policy

In searching for companies, we have been greatly helped by the input of Rio Tu, our Shanghai-based analyst who contributes ideas and research, along with four locally based colleagues. With his help there is a handful of names which we have been getting to know over the past several years. We also use third-party due diligence services for an alternative perspective on founders and to reduce the risk of fraud, for example.

The first locally listed company we have bought for the portfolio is Midea, one of the leading manufacturers and sellers of air conditioning equipment and other appliances. This is a company we have long admired, partly for their direct distribution model and partly because of the entrepreneurial management team’s success in entering new categories over time. We believe they will continue to grow via the same route, but over time we think their investments in robotics, building controls, and international expansion could also start to bear fruit. Midea offers both a similar level of income to the portfolio as a whole, and potentially very attractive long-term growth.

We have also been considering what implications there are from the recent regulatory events in China. In the main, our holdings have not been directly affected, because they do uncontroversial things like sell sportswear or rice crackers. NetEase, the videogame company, is the only holding where there has been an impact: the government has demanded that games companies limit the amount of time that children spend playing games, and there have been suggestions that the pace of approval of new games may slow for a period. NetEase has responded positively to these developments and we are confident that they will make any adjustments necessary – and we believe that their own internal innovation will be the key driver of their long-term success.

Our overall portfolio remains very diversified geographically: our names are split roughly a third European, a third American, and a third from Asian or Emerging Markets (including Australia). We don't know how this allocation will evolve over time – we believe in following the opportunities, rather than making big, top-down country allocations. However, in general we think that when people are worried about headlines it is more important than ever to remain focused on the long-term opportunities.

Portfolio update

The portfolio showed a positive return last quarter, marginally lagging global equity markets which were up 1.5 per cent in Q3. Investors oscillated between enthusiasm for the economic recovery gathering pace and fear about the undesired side effects of potential inflation (which we discussed in detail last quarter).

Summer months are typically an active reporting season for companies and the message we heard from most of the holdings was one of strength in their business and accelerating activity. While this was expected from companies which are more sensitive to the economic cycle like Swiss freight-forwarder Kuehne + Nagel, whose profits doubled in Q2 compared to the previous year, it was also true of a wide range of other businesses.

Leading semiconductor supplier TSMC recorded a 20 per cent increase in revenues in its second quarter compared to an already strong Q2 2020. Microsoft also had an active second quarter in 2020 but managed to boost earnings by close to 50 per cent. In another sector, food giant Nestlé recorded 9 per cent organic growth in revenues, an impressive number for a business with \$100bn of revenues.

Several of the holdings performed well in the pandemic and capitalised on market share gained in the

period, demonstrating the importance of a strong management in a crisis. US parts distributor Watsco, for instance, gained share in the pandemic while competitors were struggling. They held onto these gains, leading revenues to be 37 per cent higher in Q2 2021 than they had been in Q2 2019.

The biggest contribution to returns in the quarter came from a diverse group of companies. Albemarle, the largest lithium miner in the world, rose on the back of strong lithium prices and guidance for revenue growth of 25 per cent per annum until 2026. Novo Nordisk, one of the largest holdings, highlighted a very strong start for its new drug against obesity, Wegovy. The diabetes business continues to grow but it is the potential of its obesity treatments which could extend Novo's growth by many years. Australian online platform Carsales.com saw a rapid recovery in its activity and the acquisition of a US business will provide another engine of growth.

On the other side of the ledger, concerns about government intervention and the real estate sector led some of our China-based holdings to weigh on performance.

Man Wah is the leading furniture manufacturer in China and often seen as a proxy for the real estate sector in that country. With the second-largest housebuilder Evergrande in difficulty, investors have been prompt to take flight from the sector. Our view is that long-term prospects for the company have not materially changed, not least because replacement furniture makes up a significant proportion of sales and its export prospects remain very attractive. Similarly, ANTA Sports shares declined despite no obvious risk from regulation or link to real estate.

Shares in the Brazilian stock exchange B3 fell as investors turned negative on the short-term prospects for the Brazilian economy. Our investment thesis on B3 is built on the expansion and diversification of the capital markets in the next 10 years, a view which hasn't changed in light of the recent noise around Brazilian politics.

Transactions

This has been a relatively busy quarter for new purchases, as a number of new ideas worked their way through our process. As well as Midea, discussed above, we bought new holdings in Starbucks, Valmet and Linea Directa.

We believe that a strong culture and the company's focus on customers will enable Starbucks to deliver strong growth over the next decade as it further embeds

itself into its customers' daily routines. Our analysis suggests that there is room for significant growth in the number of stores in Starbucks' two most important markets: the US and China. The dividend has grown every year since it was introduced in 2011 and we expect that trend to continue in the coming decade.

Finnish engineering company Valmet is a leader in making and servicing much of the machinery used in the pulp and paper industry. It has a strong management team and a track record of returning cash to shareholders through its dividend. What really excites us is the potential earnings growth in the next 10 years as Valmet's customers supply a structurally growing market. Global pulp and paper use is rising as consumers shift to ecommerce and many companies are trying to reduce plastic use. Moreover, Valmet's customers need to invest substantially over the coming years to reduce their carbon emissions and Valmet is ideally positioned to supply and maintain more efficient machinery.

We also bought a new holding in Spanish motor and home insurer Linea Directa, which sells directly to consumers and is the equivalent of Direct Line in the UK. We believe that its differentiated direct-to-consumer approach allows it to deliver a better service at a lower price to consumers. We expect that its sensible approach to pricing should drive market share gains and, in turn, earnings growth for years to come. Linea Directa was recently spun out of its former parent, Bankinter, which should give it more freedom, but the main shareholder retains a 20 per cent stake, giving us confidence that the focus on the long-term will be maintained. The business is highly profitable and generates lots of cash, which will allow the company to pay dividends as well as reinvest to grow its business.

We funded these through reductions in Deutsche Börse and Admiral. Admiral has recently exceeded our 5 per cent limit on the contribution that any one stock makes to our forecast of portfolio income, as a result of a 59 per cent increase in the dividend. We believe that this will be temporary, reflecting unusually buoyant trading in the business but thought a modest reduction in the holding would be prudent.

Conclusion

One advantage of holding a diversified portfolio of resilient companies is that there is less need to make drastic changes when the wind blows in a different direction. Largely we can trust the managers of our holdings to make the right decisions in response to changes, whether that be rising input costs or a slowing Chinese property market. Our main focus is instead on assessing where the best opportunities for long-term growth may be. Although we've written about the opportunities in China in this letter, the pipeline of exciting new ideas spans all regions, and we see many reasons for optimism.

The views expressed reflect the personal opinion of the author and should not be considered as advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a particular investment.

Performance Objective

To achieve a yield higher than the MSCI ACWI Index whilst, over rolling five-year periods, achieving growth in both income and capital by investing in companies anywhere in the world.

The performance objective stated is in no way guaranteed. The performance target is aspirational and is not used for the purpose of determining or constraining the composition of the portfolio. Performance may vary between segregated accounts and pooled funds in different jurisdictions as each structure will bear a different set of costs. A single performance target may not be appropriate for all vehicles in all jurisdictions and for this reason our portfolio specific materials will often refer to 'material' outperformance of a benchmark.

Periodic Performance

	Composite Net (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
3 Months*	-2.0	-1.0	-1.1
YTD*	9.5	11.5	-2.0
1 Year*	23.6	28.0	-4.5
3 Years	14.2	13.1	1.1
5 Years	13.2	13.8	-0.6
610 Years	11.4	12.5	-1.1
Since Inception	10.3	10.3	0.0

Annualised periods ended 30 September 2021. *Not annualised.

Inception date: 31 March 2010.

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index (25% FTSE All Share, 75% FTSE All World ex UK prior to 31 May 2012).

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

US dollars

Discrete Performance

	30/09/16- 30/09/17	30/09/17- 30/09/18	30/09/18- 30/09/19	30/09/19- 30/09/20	30/09/20- 30/09/21
Composite Net (%)	16.8	6.9	5.6	14.2	23.6
Benchmark (%)	19.3	10.3	1.9	11.0	28.0

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index.

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

US dollars

Performance Objective

To achieve a yield higher than the MSCI ACWI Index whilst, over rolling five-year periods, achieving growth in both income and capital by investing in companies anywhere in the world.

The performance objective stated is in no way guaranteed. The performance target is aspirational and is not used for the purpose of determining or constraining the composition of the portfolio. Performance may vary between segregated accounts and pooled funds in different jurisdictions as each structure will bear a different set of costs. A single performance target may not be appropriate for all vehicles in all jurisdictions and for this reason our portfolio specific materials will often refer to 'material' outperformance of a benchmark.

Periodic Performance

	Composite Net (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
3 Months*	0.3	1.4	-1.1
YTD*	15.6	17.7	-2.1
1 Year*	25.0	29.5	-4.5
3 Years	14.3	13.2	1.1
5 Years	12.5	13.1	-0.5
10 Years	13.1	14.2	-1.1
Since Inception	11.8	11.8	0.0

Annualised periods ended 30 September 2021. *Not annualised.

Inception date: 31 March 2010.

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index (25% FTSE All Share, 75% FTSE All World ex UK prior to 31 May 2012).

Source: StatPro, MSCI

euro

Discrete Performance

	30/09/16- 30/09/17	30/09/17- 30/09/18	30/09/18- 30/09/19	30/09/19- 30/09/20	30/09/20- 30/09/21
Composite Net (%)	11.0	8.8	12.5	6.1	25.0
Benchmark (%)	13.4	12.3	8.6	3.2	29.5

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index.

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

euro

Performance Objective

To achieve a yield higher than the MSCI ACWI Index whilst, over rolling five-year periods, achieving growth in both income and capital by investing in companies anywhere in the world.

The performance objective stated is in no way guaranteed. The performance target is aspirational and is not used for the purpose of determining or constraining the composition of the portfolio. Performance may vary between segregated accounts and pooled funds in different jurisdictions as each structure will bear a different set of costs. A single performance target may not be appropriate for all vehicles in all jurisdictions and for this reason our portfolio specific materials will often refer to 'material' outperformance of a benchmark.

Periodic Performance

	Composite Net (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
3 Months*	0.4	1.5	-1.1
YTD*	11.0	13.0	-2.0
1 Year*	18.5	22.7	-4.2
3 Years	13.0	11.9	1.1
5 Years	12.4	12.9	-0.5
10 Years	13.1	14.1	-1.1
Since Inception	11.5	11.4	0.0

Annualised periods ended 30 September 2021. *Not annualised.

Inception date: 31 March 2010.

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index (25% FTSE All Share, 75% FTSE All World ex UK prior to 31 May 2012).

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

sterling

Discrete Performance

	30/09/16- 30/09/17	30/09/17- 30/09/18	30/09/18- 30/09/19	30/09/19- 30/09/20	30/09/20- 30/09/21
Composite Net (%)	13.1	10.0	11.7	8.9	18.5
Benchmark (%)	15.5	13.5	7.9	5.8	22.7

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index.

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

sterling

Performance Objective

To achieve a yield higher than the MSCI ACWI Index whilst, over rolling five-year periods, achieving growth in both income and capital by investing in companies anywhere in the world.

The performance objective stated is in no way guaranteed. The performance target is aspirational and is not used for the purpose of determining or constraining the composition of the portfolio. Performance may vary between segregated accounts and pooled funds in different jurisdictions as each structure will bear a different set of costs. A single performance target may not be appropriate for all vehicles in all jurisdictions and for this reason our portfolio specific materials will often refer to 'material' outperformance of a benchmark.

Periodic Performance

	Composite Net (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
3 Months*	0.2	1.3	-1.1
YTD*	8.9	10.9	-2.1
1 Year*	17.2	21.4	-4.2
3 Years	13.5	12.4	1.1
5 Years	12.4	12.9	-0.5
10 Years	13.6	14.7	-1.1
Since Inception	12.5	12.5	0.0

Annualised periods ended 30 September 2021. *Not annualised.

Inception date: 31 March 2010.

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index (25% FTSE All Share, 75% FTSE All World ex UK prior to 31 May 2012).

Source: StatPro, MSCI

Canadian dollars

Discrete Performance

	30/09/16- 30/09/17	30/09/17- 30/09/18	30/09/18- 30/09/19	30/09/19- 30/09/20	30/09/20- 30/09/21
Composite Net (%)	11.1	10.5	8.2	15.2	17.2
Benchmark (%)	13.5	14.1	4.4	12.0	21.4

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index.

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

Canadian dollars

Performance Objective

To achieve a yield higher than the MSCI ACWI Index whilst, over rolling five-year periods, achieving growth in both income and capital by investing in companies anywhere in the world.

The performance objective stated is in no way guaranteed. The performance target is aspirational and is not used for the purpose of determining or constraining the composition of the portfolio. Performance may vary between segregated accounts and pooled funds in different jurisdictions as each structure will bear a different set of costs. A single performance target may not be appropriate for all vehicles in all jurisdictions and for this reason our portfolio specific materials will often refer to 'material' outperformance of a benchmark.

Periodic Performance

	Composite Net (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
3 Months*	1.8	2.9	-1.1
YTD*	17.0	19.1	-2.1
1 Year*	22.6	27.0	-4.4
3 Years	14.3	13.2	1.1
5 Years	14.5	15.1	-0.6
10 Years	14.8	15.9	-1.1
Since Inception	12.6	12.6	0.0

Annualised periods ended 30 September 2021. *Not annualised.

Inception date: 31 March 2010.

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index (25% FTSE All Share, 75% FTSE All World ex UK prior to 31 May 2012).

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

Australian dollars

Discrete Performance

	30/09/16- 30/09/17	30/09/17- 30/09/18	30/09/18- 30/09/19	30/09/19- 30/09/20	30/09/20- 30/09/21
Composite Net (%)	13.9	15.9	13.3	7.4	22.6
Benchmark (%)	16.3	19.7	9.4	4.4	27.0

Benchmark is MSCI ACWI Index.

Source: StatPro, MSCI.

Australian dollars

Stock Level Attribution

Top and Bottom Ten Contributors to Relative Performance

Quarter to 30 September 2021

Asset Name	Contribution (%)
Albemarle	0.5
Carsales.com	0.4
Novo Nordisk	0.4
Alibaba	0.2
Experian	0.1
Schneider Electric	0.1
Tencent Holdings	0.1
Partners Group	0.1
AVI	0.1
Silicon Motion Technology	0.1
Man Wah	-0.8
ANTA Sports Products	-0.6
B3 S.A.	-0.5
UPS	-0.4
NetEase HK Line	-0.3
Kering	-0.3
Alphabet	-0.2
Watsco	-0.1
Tesla Inc	-0.1
Rio Tinto	-0.1


One Year to 30 September 2021

Asset Name	Contribution (%)
Albemarle	1.1
ANTA Sports Products	0.9
Partners Group	0.8
Alibaba	0.7
TSMC	0.5
Amazon.com	0.5
Kuehne & Nagel	0.5
Silicon Motion Technology	0.4
Novo Nordisk	0.4
Cullen/Frost Bankers	0.3
B3 S.A.	-0.9
CH Robinson	-0.8
Deutsche Boerse	-0.8
Alphabet	-0.7
Procter & Gamble	-0.5
Nestle	-0.4
UPS	-0.4
Roche	-0.4
Hargreaves Lansdown	-0.3
Pepsico	-0.3


Source: StatPro, MSCI. Global Income Growth composite relative to MSCI ACWI Index.
Some stocks may have only been held for part of the period.

Top Ten Largest Holdings

Stock Name	Description of Business	% of Portfolio
TSMC	Semiconductor manufacturer	3.4
United Parcel Service	Courier services	3.2
Novo Nordisk	Pharmaceutical company	3.1
Microsoft	Software company	3.1
Sonic Healthcare	Medical diagnostics company	3.0
Partners	Private markets asset management	2.9
Anta Sports Products	Sportswear manufacturer	2.9
Fastenal	Distribution and sales of industrial supplies	2.8
Roche	Pharmaceuticals	2.8
Procter & Gamble	Household product manufacturer	2.7
Total		30.0



Sector Weights	(%)
1 Industrials	19.5
2 Information Technology	18.2
3 Financials	18.1
4 Consumer Staples	15.4
5 Health Care	11.5
6 Consumer Discretionary	9.8
7 Communication Services	3.8
8 Materials	3.2
9 Utilities	1.0
10 Cash	-0.4



Regional Weights	(%)
1 North America	36.5
2 Europe (ex UK)	27.6
3 Developed Asia Pacific	13.6
4 Emerging Markets	12.5
5 UK	10.3
6 Cash	-0.4

Figures may not sum due to rounding.

Voting Activity

Votes Cast in Favour		Votes Cast Against		Votes Abstained/Withheld	
Companies	6	Companies	1	Companies	1
Resolutions	54	Resolutions	3	Resolutions	2

Solving climate change will require both international agreements among nations, and the innovation and entrepreneurship of businesses

As responsible stewards of long-term capital, it is increasingly important we understand the risks and opportunities of climate change on our clients' behalf

We try to ensure that our engagement with companies on climate-related issues is based on material risks and opportunities, but is also supportive through significant periods of change

Company Engagement

Engagement Type	Company
Corporate Governance	Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited
Environmental/Social	ANTA Sports Products Limited , United Overseas Bank Limited
AGM or EGM Proposals	Midea Group Co., Ltd., Rio Tinto Group

Notes on company engagements highlighted in blue can be found in this report. Notes on other company engagements are available on request.



© Esther Horvath/AWI/ZUMA Wire/Shutterstock.

Climate change is most often measured in decades but just one day can be notable. The Sixth Assessment Report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was published on 9 August. The full 3,949-page report represents the most significant update to global understanding of the physical science of climate change. It has taken a full eight years to complete and its conclusions are unambiguous. They tell us that human-induced climate change is unequivocal and getting worse. Earth is now close to the 1.5°C threshold and more likely than not to be reached by 2040.

Climate change transition requires cooperation and international agreements among nations, and it needs innovation and entrepreneurship from businesses. Investors have a vital role to play too. Our responsibility as stewards of long-term capital is, we think, twofold. First, we need to understand how climate change can affect returns for our clients. The relationship between climate change and investment returns is currently focused on risk. The Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) is driving significantly better disclosure of potential financial costs of climate change.

Conventional economic modelling can struggle to incorporate the type of unprecedented impacts that climate change might bring – such as large-scale crop failure, global sea level rise and collapse of ecosystems. So, TCFD has been a game changer in advancing our measurement of climate related investment risk. We can point to the recent climate report published by Taiwan Semiconductor manufacturing Co (TSMC) as a highly comprehensive analysis of its risk and opportunities. It is a case example of how far the leading companies in the portfolio have come in responding to our request for granular climate related reporting.

Our other core responsibility is to be supportive and constructive long-term owners of companies as they navigate the transition towards net zero. All companies will need to get there eventually; for some it presents a near-term liability or opportunity, while for others it is less material to their core business, though still a feature of the regulatory space and customer environment they operate in. We try to ensure that our engagement with companies on climate-related issues is based on material risks and opportunities but is also supportive through

significant periods of change. For example, we have engaged with United Overseas Bank (UOB) in Singapore to gain a clearer understanding of how it builds climate risk into its lending activity. For other holdings, such as Cisco, the global technology leader, our engagement is in support of its commitment to carbon neutrality across its operations by 2025 and a 2040 net-zero carbon emissions target for its full value chain.

For companies and investors to drive this climate transition effectively, the role of governments in helping to set the goalposts and rules of the game is vital. The Paris Agreement of 2015 was a huge step forward in this respect, and we now look to COP26 in Glasgow in November where, over just 13 days, more details must emerge on the regulatory and fiscal frameworks that will set the strategic course for the companies in the portfolio for the next decade and beyond.

Company	Engagement Report
<p>ANTA Sports Products Limited</p>	<p>The purpose of our engagement is to monitor the process by which ANTA implements its supplier audit framework. Its ten principles include a 'zero tolerance' policy for child labour, forced labour and discrimination. The supply chain for the apparel sector is complex and ANTA's supply chain extends into higher-risk countries and regions where monitoring can be restricted. In Q2 2021 ANTA appointed a Head of Sustainability who is revising the scope of supply chain oversight. We will engage further on its final selection of an internationally accredited independent assessment framework.</p>
<p>Rio Tinto Group</p>	<p>We engaged with Rio Tinto's principal advisor on climate change. Our discussion focused on the company's intention to submit a say-on-climate proposal at its next AGM. We repeated our belief that climate is a material consideration for the company. Accordingly, we believe the board has responsibility for ensuring successful implementation of the climate strategy. We outlined some concerns with the proposed resolution, specifically that it is advisory, it will hand significant influence to proxy advisors and consultants, and may reduce accountability from the board. We outlined our belief that an annual vote is not necessary as we want to avoid an endless cycle of shareholder engagement and short-term progress assessments. We see concerning parallels with the say-on-pay resolutions, which have disappointed in their ability to improve pay-for-performance and alignment. We encouraged the company to take a long-term, forward-looking approach, which explains how the climate strategy relates to the broader business plan, how they intend to meet targets and where they see bottlenecks and opportunities. However, we are apprehensive about the practicalities of a new advisory vote on a specific ESG topic and its effectiveness in supporting long-term value creation. We remain committed to being a constructive steward of the business in its efforts to address climate change.</p>
<p>United Overseas Bank Limited</p>	<p>We engaged with UOB as it sets out its new and comprehensive sustainability strategy. The company invited Baillie Gifford to join a sustainability strategy seminar where members of the management team addressed climate change transition as both a business risk and opportunity. We are supportive of UOB's increased focus on the materiality of climate change to its long-term business success.</p>

Votes Cast in Favour

Companies	Voting Rationale
Experian, Kering, Man Wah Holdings Ltd, Midea Group 'A' - Stock Connect, Silicon Motion Technology ADR, Want Want China	We voted in favour of routine proposals at the aforementioned meeting(s).

Votes Cast Against

Company	Meeting Details	Resolution(s)	Voting Rationale
Want Want China	AGM 17/08/21	3.A.I	We opposed the election of one member of the compensation committee due to our unaddressed concerns over a practice where discretionary bonuses are paid to the CEO. In addition, we question the appropriateness of his membership at such a committee in light of his family ties to the CEO.
Companies	Voting Rationale		
Want Want China	We opposed the resolution which sought authority to issue equity because the potential dilution levels are not in the interests of shareholders.		

Votes Abstained

Company	Meeting Details	Resolution(s)	Voting Rationale
Man Wah Holdings Ltd	AGM 02/07/21	3	We abstained on the election of an executive director because we consider that he is over-committed.
Man Wah Holdings Ltd	AGM 02/07/21	6	We abstained on the election of a non-executive director because we consider that he is over-committed and because his length of tenure calls his classification as independent into question.

Votes Withheld

We did not withhold on any resolutions during the period.

New Purchases

Stock Name	Transaction Rationale
Línea Directa Aseguradora	Linea Directa is a Spanish motor and home insurer, which sells directly to consumers. We believe that the company has a very differentiated model in the Spanish market, with the most widely-recalled brand in Spain, and no reliance on expensive brokers or agents - which allows it to deliver a better service at a lower price to consumers. We expect that this differentiation will drive steady market share gains over the next 5-10 years. We are also excited by their plans in health insurance, and expect other product lines to follow over time. Like the best insurance management teams, they prioritise profitable underwriting over aggressive market share targets. The company was recently spun out of its former parent, Bankinter, and the Botin family have retained their 20% stake, helping to maintain the company's long-term focus. The business is highly profitable and terrifically cash-generative, and we expect material dividends over the coming years. We therefore bought an initial holding for the fund.
Midea Group 'A' - Stock Connect	Midea Group makes air conditioning units and other home appliances. It has a tremendous track record of growth in China, reminiscent of Japanese manufacturers in the 1980s who focused on excellent engineering at lower costs than incumbent companies. We have watched it grow for several years under the stewardship of longstanding CEO Paul Fang. We foresee continued growth in China in the years ahead, and the potential for excellent growth as it builds its business overseas. The dividend has been resilient and in the years to come we expect strong earnings, dividend and capital growth. The shares have weakened considerably in the past year as the market has fretted about short-term air conditioner sales in China. We are taking this an opportunity to start a holding. This is also the first purchase we have made of China 'A' shares in the domestic market.
Starbucks Corp	We took a new holding in the coffee retailer, Starbucks. We think the strong culture and focus on customer experience will enable Starbucks to deliver strong growth over the next decade as they further embed themselves into their customers' daily routines. Our analysis suggests that there is room for significant growth in the number of stores in their two most important markets of the US and China. The dividend has grown every year since it was introduced in 2011 and we expect the dividend growth to continue.
TSMC	We have moved the TSMC investment from the ADR to the local Taiwanese line. The ADR now trades at a 10% premium to the local line, and investing in the local line may help avoid double-taxation of dividends.
Valmet Oyj	This Finnish engineering company is a leader in making and servicing much of the machinery used in the pulp and paper industry. It has a strong management team and a track record of returning cash to shareholders through its dividend. We are excited by its potential earnings and dividend growth in the next five to ten years. Its customers are seeing structural growth in demand for pulp and paper, driven by reduced plastic use and the shift from physical retail to ecommerce. These same customers also need to invest substantially in reducing their carbon emissions. As customers expand and upgrade their machinery, we expect Valmet's revenue to benefit. We also foresee its profitability improving over time, as its business mix evolves. The prospect of strong earnings and dividend growth for several years to come prompted us to invest in the shares.

Complete Sales

Stock Name	Transaction Rationale
TSMC ADR	Please see TSMC purchase note for explanation of the switch from ADRs to the local line.

MSCI	<p>Source: MSCI. The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties (including, without limitation, any warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages. (www.msci.com)</p>
------	---